

ARCHAEOOMETRY AND ARCHAEOLOGY: PRELIMINARY STUDIES OF THE CERAMICS FROM ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITES OF THE UPPER MADEIRA RIVER/RONDÔNIA - BRAZIL.

Angislaine Freitas Costa,¹Casimiro S.Munita,²Silvana Zuse³and Renato Kipnis⁴

¹Museu Nacional – Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ)
Quinta da Boa Vista, s/n° 20940-040 Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil
angislainefc@gmail.com

²Instituto de Pesquisas Energéticas e Nucleares (IPEN / CNEN - SP)
Av. Professor Lineu Prestes 2242
05508-000 São Paulo, SP
camunita@ipen.br

³Departamento de Arqueologia -Universidade Federal de Rondônia (UNIR)
Br 364 – km 9,5, sala 221, bloco 2C
76801-059 Porto Velho, RO, Brazil
silvanazuse@unir.br

⁴Scientia Consultoria Científica
Rua prof. Almeida, 75
05591-045 São Paulo, Brazil
rkipnias@scientiaconsultoria.com.br

ABSTRACT

In southwest Amazonia, in the region of the Upper Madeira River, Rondônia, archaeological research has shown that communities with diverse cultures co-existed in the pre-colonial past (ca. 1,000 BP). Archaeological sites from this period located on river banks and islands consist of large extensions of ceramic deposits which reflect different daily activities and social positions that existed within these groups. The complexity of these societies is attested to by the diversity of both ceramic forms and iconography. In this work, 140 ceramic fragments from eight archaeological sites were studied by means of instrumental neutron activation analysis (INAA) to determine Na, K, La, Sm, Yb, Lu, U, Sc, Cr, Fe, Co, Zn, Rb, Cs, Ce, Eu, Hf, Ta and Th mass fractions, with the purpose of classifying and ordering artifacts which are related to one another in their chemical compositions. The analytical method used is adequate for this type of study because it is a non-destructive technique with high sensitivity and precision that can determine chemical elements in trace and ultra-trace levels, essential for studying small variations in elemental concentrations. Multivariate statistical analyses were used to evaluate the dataset. Initially the mass fractions were normalized to compensate for the large difference in magnitude among elements determined in percentage and in trace level. Subsequently, the mass fraction data were interpreted through cluster analysis, discriminant analysis and a log-log scatterplot. The results show the existence of four compositional groups, indicating different clay sources.

1. INTRODUCTION

Pottery is the most abundant material evidence of human activities in the past, which has been found in many different archaeological sites in the world [1]. There are two reasons why this occurs, firstly is that clay-based vessels play an important role in the processing and consumption of food and other products and in the transmission of knowledge and identities [2], and second, they present physical resistance to soil weather throughout the time, where they are deposited until they are rescued in the archaeological investigations. This type of

artifact often breaks down during its use, what makes it possible to add it in the archaeological record, thus allowing the archaeologist to elaborate interpretations on human occupations, migration routes, and other aspects of people's life history.

During the archaeological analysis, the researcher identifies the stages of production of the pottery, which changes according to each cultural tradition. In addition to the process of manufacturing objects, it is possible to infer daily activities and rituals (e.g. cooking, processing food and other products, transporting and storing food, water and fermented beverages, serving and consuming products, burials, and others), and to map the different cultural groups that were interacting on a regional scale.

The analysis of the ceramic is made in a macro manner (presence of decoration, marks of use, color and shapes) and microscopic (use of binocular magnifying glass to identify types of antiplastics, classified by the inclusion of minerals and organic elements such as tree bark and freshwater sponge), making it possible to characterize the technologies and define cultural traditions [3]. However, this type of study is insufficient to identify the choice of raw materials and their source (clay source). In this sense, Archaeometry has contributed, through the use of the technique of activation analysis with instrumental neutrons (INAA). This analytical method is suitable because it is a non-destructive technique that can determine several chemical elements simultaneously, with high sensitivity, precision and accuracy, thereby providing the characterization of the elemental chemical composition of different clays found in archaeological ceramics [4].

The interdisciplinarity applied in this work, allows an advance in the interpretations on the choices of raw materials that are used in the production of the ceramic artifacts, coming from different archaeological sites and elaborated by several indigenous people who had cohabit the river banks and islands of the Upper Madeira River in the pre-colonial past (ca. 1,000 BP).

The objective of the research is to provide data to map the clay diversities used in the elaboration of ceramics, to obtain evidence of local and regional circulation of canisters [5], and to test the hypothesis of exchanges between these ceramist people.

The 140 ceramic fragments analyzed in the research are from eight archaeological sites excavated in the Upper Madeira River, present in the State of Rondônia, Brazil.

2. STUDY AREA

The first archaeological research on the Madeira River took place in 1978, with fieldwork by the archaeologist Eurico Miller. This researcher traveled throughout the State of Rondônia, with the objective of identifying archaeological sites and defining cultural phases and traditions in the region [6]. The classifications were made through typological categories, through the identification of the type of decoration and type of antiplastic [7]. According to this researcher, the ceramic technology of the Madeira River would belong to the Polychrome Tradition of the Amazon. However, recent archaeological investigations have contested its interpretation and pointed out that it is more of a cultural tradition[8,9].

The Madeira River is formed by the junction of the Beni and Mamoré rivers, which are born at the foothills of the Andes. They are clear water rivers that carry a high sedimentary and

liquid load [10]. This river is considered the fourth largest river in the world, and the largest tributary of the Amazon basin. All high course of this river was impacted by the construction of two hydroelectric power plants.

The study area selected for this study corresponds to 80 km of the high course of the Madeira River, and is located near the county of Porto Velho, in the state of Rondônia. In this region, the river is embedded, marked by several rocky outcrops in the form of boulders, blocks and slabs, which form several waterfalls, considered important landscapes markers to understand the settlement pattern of indigenous populations in the past [10].

The selected sites for sampling were: *Santo Antônio* Island, *Do Brejo*, *Teotônio*, *São Francisco* Island, *Island of Cobras*, *Coração*, *Japó* Island and *Dionísio* Island (Figure 1). All were excavated as part of the project "Preventive Archeology in the areas of intervention of the *Santo Antônio* Hydroelectric Power Plant," coordinated by the company Scientia Consultoria between the years of 2008 and 2011 [11].




Figure 1: Location of the study area indicating the archaeological sites investigated in this research.

2.1.1. The site of *Santo Antônio* Island

This is a multi-componential litho-ceramic site, with a high density of archaeological material, and a black earth spot in the western portion. The island of *Santo Antônio* is separated from the left bank by the *Santo Antônio* Waterfall, and the right bank by the arm of the Madeira river. The extension of this site is 300m x 320m. A total of 26 units of 1m² were

excavated, with a depth of 1m to 2,50m. The ceramic was collected mainly between the levels of 10-60 cm (Figure 2).




Figure 2: Stratigraphic profile of the sediment layers on *Santo Antônio* Island archaeological site[11].

2.1.2 The *Do Brejo* site

This is a unicomponental litho-ceramic site with a black earth spot associated with the high density of archaeological material (Figure 3). The site *Do Brejo* is located in front of *Santo Antonio* Island, and is inserted in floodplain. The extension of this site is 204m x 340m. Six areas of 2 x 1m were excavated, depth of 1m to 2,9 m. In the lower portion and near the river (sector 1), the excavation of a profile reached 14m in length and 6m in depth. The ceramic was collected mainly between levels of 20-70 cm, and in sector 1 up to 5.8 m.



Figure 3: Stratigraphic profile of sediment layers in the unit that reached up to 4,40m deep from the archaeological site of *Do Brejo* [11].

2.1.3 The *Teotônio* site

This is a multi-componential litho-ceramic site with a high density of archaeological material. It is inserted in flattening surface, and its extension is of 400m x 600m. A 2 x 2.6m long and 60cm deep unit was excavated, this area is called the Road Sector [11]. The ceramic material collected for this analysis corresponds to 3 semi-whole vessels.

2.1.4 The *São Francisco* Island site

This is a multi-componential litho-ceramic archaeological site with a high density of archaeological material. The *São Francisco* Island is inserted in the alluvial plain, and its extension is of 200m x 180m. Eight units of 1m² depth were excavated, with a depth of up to 2 m. Ceramics were collected mainly between levels of 30-60 cm (Figure 4).




Figure 4: Stratigraphic profile of the sediment layers in the archaeological site of *São Francisco* Island [11].

2.1.5 The Island of the *Cobras* site

This is a multi-componential litho-ceramic site with a high density of archaeological material. The Island of the *Cobras* is inserted in the alluvial plain, and its extension is of 400m x 100m. It does not present black earth, like the other archaeological sites. Nine units of 1m depth were excavated, with a depth of up to 1.6m. The ceramic was collected mainly between the levels of 20-60 cm.

2.1.6 The *Coração* site

This is a unicomponential litho-ceramic site with a low density of archaeological material. The *Coração* site is inserted in planing surface in the right bank of the Madeira River, and its extension is of 300m x 300m. It does not present black earth like most of other sites. The ceramic was collected up to 80 cm deep.

2.1.7 The site of the *Japó* Island

This is a multi-componential litho-ceramic site with a high density of archaeological material. The *Japó* Island is inserted in the alluvial plain, and its extension is of 230m x 140m. It has a black earth spot in the west portion. Twenty-six units of 1m² were excavated, with a depth of up to 1,30 m. The ceramic was collected mainly between levels of 20-70 cm.

2.1.8 The *Dionísio* Island site

This is a multi-componential litho-ceramic site with a high density of archaeological material. The *Dionísio* Island is embedded in the alluvial plain, and the extent of the site is 350m x 550m. Twenty-four units of 1m² and 24 units of 2m² were excavated. Overall, the depth reached 2m, with the exception of one unit in the central area of the site that was up to 2m. The ceramic analyzed in this work was collected mainly between 10-90 cm levels (Figure 5).




Figure 5: Stratigraphic profile of the sediment layers in the *Dionísio* Island archaeological site.

Finally, the samples selected for this study come from the eight archaeological sites presented above, corresponding to 30 whole and semi-whole vessels and 110 vessel fragments. Fragments of border (container top), base (container bottom), and container body were analyzed, the ones which presented painted and plastic decorations. We also used 1 sample of clay residue, interpreted as raw material in the production of the ceramic artifact.

3. EXPERIMENTAL PART

3.1.1. Preparation of samples

The first step is to clean the surface of the ceramic with a rotating tungsten carbide file adapted to a variable speed drill, in order to avoid any contamination. After this procedure, holes were drilled on the side of the ceramic with a tungsten carbide drill (diameters of 1.5 and 2.2 mm), without crossing the wall. About 500 mg of each sample in the form of powder was collected. Thereafter, the collected powder was taken to dry in the oven at 104 ° C for 24 hours [14].

3.1.2. Analytical procedure and equipment

To perform the analysis, about 100 mg of dry powder of each ceramic sample was used. It was weighed and packed in polyethylene wrappings, and it was sealed in a *selapack*. Each envelope was wrapped in foil. Then, a set of eight samples was assembled together with approximately 100 mg of *Standard Reference Material NIST-SRM 1633b Constituent Elements in Coal Fly Ash and the Sediment candidate certified reference material, RM*, from

Wageningen University, Environmental Sciences, Netherlands. After grouping the samples in parallel to receive the same neutron flux, they were irradiated for 8 hours in the IEA-R1 reactor of IPEN-CNEN / SP, under a thermal neutron flux of the order $10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2} \times \text{s}^{-1}$ [15].

The measurements were performed in two stages, one after 7 days of decay to determine Na, K, La, Sm, Yb, Lu and U elements concentrations, and another after 25 to 30 days to determine Sc, Cr, Fe, Co, Zn, Rb, Cs, Ce, Eu, Fe, Hf, Ta and Th.

All measurements were performed using the detector Gehyperpuro, model GX 1925 from Canberra, resolution of 1.90 keV at the 1332.49 keV gamma peak of ^{60}Co , with S-100 MCA of Canberra with 8192 channels. The Genie-2000 Gamma Acquisition & Analysis software, v. 3.1.a, developed by Canberra, was used to analyze the gamma-ray spectra [16].

3.1.3. Analytical quality control

To study the precision of the analytical procedure was used a Sediment candidate certified reference material, named RM in this work, in which were analyzed several chemical elements by 41 NAA laboratories worldwide. In this RM all sources of error were considered and uncertainties were estimated by Wageningen University, Environmental Sciences, Netherlands [17].

3.1.4. Methods statistics

Cluster analysis was first applied to classify the data matrix values into groups that had shown similarities. Then, discriminant analysis was used to confirm the number of groups defined by cluster analysis. This technique function is to identify the original variables (discriminant function), which explain the differences between the samples, making it possible to place new samples in one of the groups defined in the analysis. In this case StatSoft's Statistica software was used.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Eighteen samples of the reference material were analyzed in this research, to determine the mass fractions of the following elements: Na, K, La, Sm, Yb, Lu, Sc, Cr, Fe, Co, Zn, Rb, Cs, Ce, Eu, Hf, Ta and Th. The aim of this analysis was to assess the quality control of the analytical method. In order to examine the homogeneity on the observations of the RM, it was used the r_{\max} and r_{\min} criteria [4,5]. These experimental values were compared with the values tabulated for a level of significance of 0.05 and with $n-2$ degrees of freedom [4]. After verifying the precision for each element, the results showed that most elements presented a $\text{RSD} \leq 10\%$, as can be seen in Table 1. Elements such as Lu, and Ta were removed because they had precision of $>10\%$. The determination of Zn is not reliable as a consequence of strong gamma-ray interference by ^{46}Sc and ^{182}Ta . Although Co has a RSD less than 10%, it was not included in the data set because the determination can be affected by tungsten carbides files. So, the elements used in the interpretation of data set were Na, K, La, Sm, Yb, Sc, Cr, Fe, Cs, Ce, Eu, Hf, and Th. After this step, the elementary concentrations of each were normalized by the transformed \log_{10} , which is a common procedure in archaeometric studies, whose function is to compensate for the large differences in magnitude between elements that are at higher level and those at trace level [4].

Table 1: Results for RM, in ug/g unless otherwise indicated.

Elements	Energy (keV)	Found*	SD	RSD, %	Recommended Value	SD
Na	1368.55	4.58	0.19	4.1	4.69	0.33
K	1524.58	13.16	1.29	9.8	12.86	0.66
La	1596.21	21.2	0.88	4.2	19.46	1.72
Sm	103.18	5.65	0.30	5.3	-	-
Yb	396.32	1.6	0.14	8.8	-	-
Lu	208.36	0.29	0.09	31.0	-	-
Sc	889.28	5.60	0.11	2.0	5.67	0.37
Cr	320.08	73.57	7.17	9.7	70.98	7.31
Fe	1099.25	25.20	0.80	3.2	25.39	1.42
Co	1332.50	8.26	0.69	8.4	8.14	0.75
Zn	1115.55	404.19	30.78	7.6	-	-
Rb	1077.00	66.49	27.02	41	61.38	5.02
Cs	795.84	4.37	0.22	5.0	3.77	0.36
Ce	145.44	41.32	3.10	7.5	39.99	5.03
Eu	1408.01	0.76	0.07	9.2	-	-
Hf	482.18	7.69	0.79	10.0	-	-
Ta	1221.41	0.62	0.21	34.0	-	-
Th (Pa)	312.17	5.74	0.50	8.7	5.69	0.63

Subsequently, it was calculated the average, standard deviation, maximum and minimum values for each ceramic fragment. After removing the discrepant samples using the Mahalanobis distance and the Lambda Wilks criteria, the results of the elementary concentrations of 135 samples were subjected to statistical analysis. First, cluster analysis was applied to search for the presence of homogeneous groups of samples [18], adopting the criteria of similarity to the quadratic Euclidean distance and as a clustering algorithm *Ward*, thus generating a dendrogram. Next, the discriminant analysis was performed to confirm the number of groups defined by Cluster analysis. The discriminant analysis technique function is maximizing the differences between two or more groups, extracting new variables from the original variables [19].

The results showed the presence of four compositional groups, indicating different Clay sources of raw material in the manufacture of ceramic artifacts.

The Group 1 was identified in the eight archaeological sites. However, the Group 2, which also represents the majority, does not appear in the *Coração* site.

Few artifacts have been produced with the clay group 4, these ones being found only in *São Francisco* Island site. Objects produced with group 3 clay were identified in three sites: *Santo Antônio* Island, *São Francisco* Island and Island of *Cobras*.




Figure 6: Discriminant function 1 versus discriminant function 2. Ellipses represent a confidence level of 85%.

3. CONCLUSIONS

In this work 140 samples of ceramic fragments from eight archaeological sites of the Upper Madeira River were analyzed. The analysis of the 18 samples of the reference material (RM) obtained by the application of the INAA technique allowed us to conclude that the method presented good precision and accuracy for the elements Na, K, La, Sm, Yb, Sc, Cr, Fe, Co, Zn, Cs, Ce, Eu, Hf, and Th.

The multivariate statistical methods applied in the samples of the ceramic fragments, such as cluster analysis and discriminant, allowed the identification of four distinct compositional groups, i.e. the result of the chemical composition of the fragments ceramics showed that these artifacts were produced using four different clay sources.

Therefore, the results indicate that the indigenous people who co-inhabited the same geographic space of the Upper Madeira River used different clay sources in the production of ceramic vessels.

With the continuity of the research, it will be possible to discuss if these differences in the chemical composition of the clays correspond to the different choices related to distinct cultural traditions, if the diversity of choices within the same tradition indicates the access to different sources in the region, and if the same sources may have been accessed over time and contemporaneously by different populations, with the possibility of exchanges of raw materials and artifacts. There are archaeological research that shows that potters from different communities exploited more than one type of raw material.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The first author would like to thank *Capes* for the granting scholarship, Scientia Consultoria for giving samples of ceramics, and also the Graduate Program in Archeology of the National Museum / UFRJ and the Archaeometric Studies Group of IPEN-CNEN / SP.

REFERENCES

1. Shepard, A. *Ceramics for the archaeologist*. Washington, D.C.: Carnegie Institution of Washington (1956).
2. Skibo, J. J. *Pottery Use-Alteration Analysis*. In Marreiro, J; Bicho, N.; e Gibaja, J. F. (orgs.). *Use-Wear and Residue Analysis in Archaeology. Manuals in Archaeological Method, Theory and Technique*, pp. 189-198. Nova York: Springer (2015).
3. Rye, O. *Pottery technology: principles and reconstruction*. Washington D.C.: Taraxacum Press (1981).
4. Munita, C.S; Carvalho, P. R; Oliveira, C. A de; Amaral, A de M; Sullasi, H. L. “Estudo da mobilidade logística a partir da caracterização química da cerâmica do Sítio Capim em Araripina, Pernambuco, Brasil”. In: *Cadernos do Lepaarq*, Vol. XV, n.30, pp. 179-190 (2018).
5. Hazenfratz-Marks, R.;Munita, C.S.; Glascock, M.D.; Neves, E.G. “Study of exchange networks between two Amazon archaeological sites by INAA”. *Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry*, Vol.309, n.1, pp. 195-205 (2016).
6. Miller, E.T. *Adaptação agrícola pré-histórica no Alto Rio Madeira*. In B. J. Meggers (ed). *Prehistoria Sudamericana. Nuevas Perspectivas*, pp. 219-229. Washington, D.C.: Taraxacum (1992).
7. Meggers, B. & Evans, C. *Como interpretar a linguagem da cerâmica*. Washington D.C.: Smithsonian Institution (1970).
8. Almeida, F.O. “A Tradição Polícroma no Alto rio Madeira”, <http://www.teses.usp.br/teses/> (2013).
9. Zuse, S. “Variabilidade cerâmica e diversidade cultural no alto rio Madeira, Rondônia”, <http://www.teses.usp.br/teses/> (2014).
10. Tizuka, M. M. “Geoarqueologia e paleohidrologia da planície aluvial Holocênica do rio Madeira entre Porto Velho e Abunã”, <https://repositorio.unesp.br/> (2013).
11. Scientia Consultoria Científica. Projeto de Arqueologia Preventiva nas Áreas de Invenção do AHE Santo Antônio, RO. São Paulo: Scientia Consultoria Científica (2011).
12. Pessoa, C. G. Os contextos arqueológicos e a variabilidade artefactual da ocupação Jatuarana no alto rio Madeira, <http://ppga.propesp.ufpa.br> (2015).
13. Costa, A. C. A multifuncionalidade da cerâmica no sítio Ilha Dionísio, Alto rio Madeira, <https://www.academia.edu/31836011/> (2016).
14. Munita, C.S.; Carvalho, P.R. “Grupo de estudos arqueométricos do IPEN-CNEN/SP”. In: *Cadernos do CEOM*, Vol.28, n.43pp.53-59 (2015).
15. Munita, C.S. “Contribuição da análise por ativação com nêutrons a estudos arqueométricos: estudo de caso”. *Canindé*, Vol.6, n.1, pp. 159-181 (2005).
16. Munita C, Nascimento Ana; Schreiber S. B.; Luna S, Oliveira P. M. S. “Chemical Study of Some Ceramics from Brazilian Northeast”. *Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry*, Vol. 259, n.2, pp. 305-309 (2004).
17. International Soil-Analytical Exchange, ISE-2015-1, Wageningen Evaluation Programs for Analytical Laboratories. Quaterly Report 2015-1, January-March, Wageningen University, Netherland.

18. Hair J, Anderson R, Tatham R, Black W. *Multivariate Data Analysis*. New Jersey, Prentice Hall (1998).
19. Glascock M, Neff H; Vaughn K. “Instrumental neutron activation analysis and multivariate statistics for pottery provenance”. *Hyperfine Interactions*, Vol. 154, n.1, pp. 95-105 (2004).
20. Hazenfratz-Marks R. “Nêutrons, radiação e arqueologia: estudo de caso multianalítico de cerâmicas da tradição borda incisa na Amazônia Central”, <http://repositorioconsulta.ipen.br> (2014).